Design Guidelines Informational Session

February 27th (9 am to 12 pm)

Agenda (9 am to Noon)

- Module 1: Intro to MOVEBR
- Module 2: Design Guidelines Introduction
- Module 3: Typical Sections
- Module 4: Pedestrian Facilities
- Module 5: Bicyclist Facilities
- Module 6: ADA Requirements
- Module 7: Transit Considerations
- Quiz!



Today's Team









Jason Crain, PE, ENV SP Vice President & Sr. Project Manager



April Renard, PE, PTOE, RSP2 Complete Streets Lead

CSRS BUILDING STRONGER, SMARTER COMMUNITIES TOGETHER.





Lynn Maloney-Mujica, AICP Senior Environmental Planner





Doing Business with MOVEBR

SBO Overview

- Outreach to targeted businesses
- Assistance registering in VSS
- Coaching provided



Contact Information

Small Business Outreach Tyra Banks (225) 663-2198 <u>Tyra@MetroMorphosis.net</u>

For more information visit

www.movebr.brla.gov



@movebrla



Module 1: Intro to MOVEBR

Program Structure, Vision Statement, Applicable Policies & Best Practices



- $\frac{1}{2}$ cent sales tax
- Commenced April 1, 2019 thru March 31, 2049
- Sunsets in 30 years or as soon as the bonds are paid off
- Food and Drugs are exempt
- Applies to all sales in the parish regardless of where people reside



- Expected to generate approximately \$46M per year
- Bond Sales will be utilized to expedite construction
- Proceeds of the tax CAN ONLY BE SPENT on the approved list of projects
- Construction of some projects can be expected within 1-2 years of tax collection

PROGRAM COST: NEW CAPACITY IMPROVEMENTS

Number of Projects:

40

\$805.5 Million

District	Proposed Project Description	a.) Congestion Relief	h.) Mobility/ Salety Eshancements	c.) Corridor Revitalization	Estimated Cost (SM)	Proposition Funding (SM)	Proposed Scope of Work
	Contraction of the second second	a) I i	=// Passed)	Incrovers	:315		Swar - Control State of the
1	City of Zachary CEA DTD December 12, 2013	•			\$60	\$6.0	Capacity Improvements Mount Pleasant Road
-1	McHugh Rd (Groom - Lower Zachary)				\$8.0	\$3.0	Paving and Drainage Improvements
1	Port Hudson - Pride Road (LA 954 - LA 19)			-	\$18.0	\$18.0	Turning Movements, Shoulders, Drainage
1	Rolins Rd (LA 964 - LA19)			-	\$180	\$180	Turning Movements, Shoulders, Drainage
2	Harding at I-110	•			\$50	\$50	Intersection Improvements
2	Thomas Rd (Hwy 19 - Plank)				\$28.6	\$23.6	Turning movements, Shoulders, Drainage
3	Ben Hur Realignment/Nicholson intersection				\$25	\$2.5	Intersection improvements
3	Highland @ Siegen	(-		\$3.0	\$3.0	Additional Turn Lane Capacity
3	Nicholson Segment 2 (Eluebonnet - Ben Hur)				\$420	\$42.0	4-Lane Capacity
3	Nicholson Segment 3 (Parish Line - Bluebonnet)				\$190	\$190	4-Lane Capacity
.3	Staring Lane Extn (Nicholson - Burbank)				\$140	\$20	4-Lana Capacity
4	Flannery (Old Hammond Hwy - Florida)				\$17.0	\$17.0	Safety Mobility, Turning Lane, and Drainage
4	Hooper Rd (Blackwater - Sullivan)		1		\$50.0	\$38.0	4-Lane Capacity
4	Sherwood Forest Extn. (Greenwell Spt Joor)				\$30.0	\$30.0	New Roadway
4	South Choctaw (Flarinery - Central Thrwy)	•			\$120	\$12.0	Additional Lane Capacity
4	Wax Rd/Magnalia Bridge (Sullivan Rd - Greenwell Springs)	1 - •			\$380	\$380	4-Lane Capacity
5	Greenwell St@Airline				\$20	\$2.0	Intersection Improvement
5	Mickens Rd (Hooper Rd - Joor Rd)				\$25.0	\$25.0	Turning Lene, Mobility, Drainage
6	Ardenwood - Lobdell Connector (BRCC)	+			\$3.0	\$3.0	New Roadway
9	Antioch (Jefferson to Tiger Bend)		1.00		\$90	\$90	Safety Mobility, Turning Lane, Drainage
9	Hoo Shoo Too Rd (Jefferson to Tiger Bend)	1			\$120	\$12.0	Safety Mobility, Turning Movements, Drainage
9	Jones Creek Rd (Tiger Bend to Airline)				\$19.0	\$19.0	New 4-Jane Roedway
9	Tiger Bend Rd (Jones Creek to Antioch)		1		\$16.0	\$15.0	4-Lane Blvd Capacity
11	Bluebonnet (Parkins to Picardy)		1	1	\$190	\$190	Additional Lane Capacity
11	Dijon Ave Phase II (Midway - Bluebonnet)		1		\$80	\$80	4-Lane Blvd, Curb and Gutter
11	Jaffercon @ Bluebonnet Intersection	-	1		\$20	\$2.0	Turning Lane Extension
11	Jefferson @ Corporate Intersection				\$20	\$2.0	Turning Lane Extension
11	Midway (Djon - Picardy)				\$6.5	\$65	New 2-lane Roadway
11	Picardy-Perkins Connector				\$40.0	\$11.4	4-Lane Capacity
12	Hennessy - Perkins Connector		•	1	\$30.0	\$30.0	R/R Underpass
12	Lee Dr (Highland-Perkins)				\$350	\$350	Cepacity and Turning Movements
12	Nicholson Dr (Brightside - Gourrier)			1	\$21.0	\$6.3	4-Lane Capacity
3,9	Perkins Rd (Pecue - Siegen)				\$26.2	\$262	4-Lane Capacity
48	Old Hammond Hwy (Elvd de Province - Millenville)				\$30.0	\$26.0	4-Lane Capacity
48	Old Hammond Hwy (Millervite - OfNeal)			1	\$18.9	\$18.9	4-Lane Capacity
49	Old Hammond Segment 3		1		\$120	\$12.0	Additional Lane Capacity
5,6,7,10	Airline Hwy: North (Florida - 1-110)		1	1	\$440	\$920	Additional Lane Capacity
8,9,11	Airline Hwy: South (Parish Line - Bluebannet)	· ·			\$51.0	\$25.0	Additional Lane Capacity
9,11	Pecue Lane (Perkins Rd - Airline Hwy)				\$520	\$2.0	4-Lane Blid, I-10 Interchange
3,9	Highland Rd (Perkins to Old Perkins)	•	_	1	\$10.8	\$10.8	Intersection/Interchange Improvements
	(Article)	1 100			dinor r	20000	

PROGRAM COST

Improving Existing Corridors Number of Projects: 12 \$204 Million

Community Enhancement Road Projects Number of Projects: 10 **\$68.6 Million**

District	Proposed Project Description	a.) Congestion Relief	b.) Mobility/ Safety Enhancements	c.) Corridor Revitalization	Estimated Cost (SM)	Proposition Funding (SM)	Proposed Scope of Work	
	bi Improving Existing Considers w/ Incre	aned Mobili	ty including	Signal Syna	tromanic	n, Siplemi	its, and Cycling Petits	
1	McHoet (LA 64 to Pride Port Hudson)				\$10.0	\$10.0	Safety Improvements	
2	Scenic (Harding to Swan)				\$70	\$70	Intersection Improvements	
10	Terrace Ave (Highland Rd - Perkins Rd)		•		\$10.0	\$10.0	Access Management, Signalization; Turning Movements	
11	Claycut (S. Foster Dr - Jefferson Hwy)				\$3.0	\$3.0	Access Management, Intersection Improvements	
11	Drusilla (Jefferson - Old Hammond Hwy)		21.7		\$7.0	\$7.0	New Turning Lane and Sidewalks	
12	College Dr Comidor Enhancement (Perlene - I-10)	1.00			\$50.0	\$40.0	Access Management, Signalization; Capacity Improvements	
12	Groom Rd (LA 19 - Rank Rd)				\$25,0	\$25.0	Safety Mobility, Turning Movement Improvements	
2,10	Plank Rd Corridor Enhancement (N. 22nd - Harding St)		60	-	\$15.0	\$15.0	Access Management, Signalization; Turning Movement Improvements	
3,9	Highland at Pecue				\$30	\$30	Roundabout	
6,11	Sharp (Old Hammond Hwy - Florida)				\$11.0	\$11.0	Mobility, Turning Lane, Desinage	
6,7	North Blvd Corridor Enhancement (I-110 - Foster/Flonds)		1.8		\$15.0	\$15.0	Access Management, Signalization; Turning Movement Improvements	
67,10	Florida Bvd Corridor Enhancement (I-110 - Airline)				\$48.0	\$24.0	Access Management, Signalization; Mobility Improvements	
	b) Subtotel	2	11	5	\$204.0	\$170.0		

	 Constructing Community Enhancement Read P 	rojects, înc	stating read	topair, ditei	tes or drain	age, light	, cures, landscaping, sidewalks		
Parish	Future Community Enhancement Call for Projects			- 1. • j = 1	\$49.0	\$49.0	Futuro sidowalks, multi-uso paths, lighting, drainago, landscapir		
Parish	ADA Compliance Projects			•	\$100	\$100	ADA Compliance improvements		
2	Elm Grove Garden Sidewalks Ph 1&2 (Blount Rd. to Rosenwald Rd.)			1	\$37	\$2.0	Sidewalks and Subsurface Drainage		
3	Mullen Drive Sidewalks (Honey Dr. to Perkins Rd.)			1.465	\$0.2	\$0.2	Sidewalks and Subsurface Drainage		
8	Shorwood Forest Blvd. Multi-Ueo Path (I-12 to Old Hammond Hvy:)				\$0.6	\$0.4	Sidowelks and Subsurface Drainage		
8	Sherwood Forest Blvd. Sidewalks (Coursey Blvd to I-12 west side)				\$1.0	\$05	Sidewalks and Subsurface Drainage		
9	Centurion Ave. Sidewalks (O'Neal Ln to Crossbow Dr.)				\$0.2	\$0.2	Sidewalks and Subsurface Drainage		
9	S. Harrell's Ferry Rd. Multi-Use Path (O'Neal Ln to Woodlake Dr.)				\$1.4	\$1.4	Sidewalks and Subsurface Drainage		
10	72nd Street Sidewalks (I-110 to Pank Rd.)		1	-	\$0.6	\$0.4	Sidewalks and Subsurface Drainage		
10	72nd Street Sidewalke (Scenic Hwy to Plank Rd.)				\$0.7	\$0.4	Sidowelks and Subsurface Drainage		
11	Bluebonnet Blvd (N Mall Road to Bluebonnet Centre Blvd)				\$1.0	\$1.0	Sidewalks and Subsurface Drainage		
12	Hidden Bike Path (College Dr. to Country Club Dr.)				\$0.2	\$0.2	Sidewalks and Subsurface Drainage		
	c) Subtotel	0	0	12	\$68.6	\$65.8			
	di Pi	arishwide -	Signalization	1/Synchroni	zation				
Parish	Advanced Traffic Management		-		\$5.0	\$5.0	Software and Equipment Upgrades		
Fansh	Synchronization & Communication				\$35.0	\$35.0	Signal Upgrades; Fiber Connections		
	d) Subtotel	0	0	0	\$40.0	\$40.0			
				TOTAL	\$1,118.1	\$912.0			



PARISHWIDE PROJECTS

PROJECT TYPE	ESTIMATED COST
Community Enhancement Call for Projects	\$49 M
ADA Compliance	\$10M
Advanced Traffic Mgt.	\$5M
Synchronization/Communication	\$35 M

MOVEBR Vision Statement

MOVEBR will be the industry standard of excellence in delivering transportation solutions that will move our region in a safe, sustainable manner and further enhance strong neighborhoods, communities, and economic vitality for **all residents** of East Baton Rouge.



Complete Streets Policy

The City of Baton Rouge and the Parish of East Baton Rouge recognize that the **full integration of all modes** of travel in the design of streets and highways will help increase the capacity and efficiency of the road network, reduce traffic congestion by improving mobility options, reduce greenhouse gas emissions, and improve the general quality of life of all citizens by providing them with additional choices of transportation modes. Therefore, the City of Baton Rouge and the Parish of East Baton Rouge will plan for, design, construct, operate, and maintain appropriate transportation facilities and amenities that are safe, convenient and fully integrated into a network for all user -automobile motorists, pedestrians of any age or ability, bicyclists, transit vehicles and riders, freight providers, emérgency responders, children and the elderly -- in a balanced, responsible and equitable manner consistent with and supportive of the surrounding community.



Green Infrastructure

- More than landscaping!
- ▶ Urban stormwater detention, retention, filtration
- Removes hydrocarbons from runoff
- Allows slow absorption into the ground water table
- Standards and specifications TBD
- Resource: NACTO's Urban Street Stormwater Guide



Green Infrastructure Options



Source: NACTO Street Stormwater Guide





Green Infrastructure Options







Module 2: Design Guidelines Introduction

Design Process, Project Milestones & Deliveries, Exceptions, and Required Documentation

Program will deliver transportation solutions beyond traffic mitigation

- Safety
- Multi-mobility accommodation
- Sustainability and resiliency
- ADA accommodations
- Complete Streets
- Green Infrastructure
- Economic vitality

A workgroup was convened to develop these guidelines

Intended to:

- Provide guidance and direction
- Ensure consistency to design approach
- Uniformity in design process

Not intended to:

- Stifle design innovation and ingenuity
- Absolve professional responsibility of design

Governs all MOVEBR Projects except some State Routes will require compliance with LADOTD design guidelines



Contents:

- Introduction
- Corridor Survey
- Utility Coordination and Relocations
- Environmental Assessments
- Geotechnical Engineering
- Traffic Operations and Design
- Road Design and Complete Streets

- Hydrology and Hydraulics
- Construction Maintenance of Traffic
- Structural Design
- Green Infrastructure
- Street Lighting
- ROW Surveying and Mapping

Where can I find resources?

- Website: <u>https://movebr.brla.gov/</u>
 - MOVEBR Design Guidelines
 - MOVEBR Consultant Services Manual COMING SOON
- Website: <u>http://brprojects.com/</u>
 - East Baton Rouge DPW Standard Plans
 - East Baton Rouge Standard Specifications for Public Works
 - RFQ Manager

Design Process

Typical Design Milestones

- Preliminary Engineering
 - Design Study
 - Environmental Studies
 - Traffic Study
 - Geotechnical Investigations
 - Control & Topographic Surveys
- Final Design
 - 30% Final Design (Geometric Submittal)
 - Property Survey & ROW Mapping
 - 50% Final Design (Hydraulic Submittal)
 - 60% Final Design (PIH Submittal)
 - 90% Final Design (ACP Submittal)
 - 100% Final Design

Design Process

Review Process

- DTD & PMT will provide technical reviews of design deliverables
- High-level conformance and are not meant to be comprehensive
- Review Goal of 2-week timeframe
- Comments will be provided in a formal, consistent format
 - Quality Review Form
 - Supplemented with plan redline comments
- LADOTD may also provide reviews
- Consultant is fully responsible for their designs and work

Required Documentation

- Design criteria and guidelines established on programmatic level
 - Best practices
 - Ideal conditions
 - Do not account for specific project constraints
- Project Design Report
 - Consistent documentation of selected design values and design element implementation decisions
 - Similar to LADOTD Minimum Guidelines Design Report
 - Projects on state routes, remaining state routes will utilize the LADOTD Design Report.

Project Inform	ation:		Status					
Project Name:	College Dr. Er	ihancement Project	Prelimit Final					
Projact No.								
intersecting Routes	State/Pe	ia <i>t a plate:</i> Idwail 🗋 Other MOV EBR	Description of Project Improvements to be made: Provide all active ris/Lacossary					
Program Work Type:	Check all In Capacit Sidewal	iot op.org. y Comdor Enhancement k CADA Compliance						
Funding Sources:	Checkolth MOVEB	i <u>ot opoly</u> . R 🔲 Ştato 🔲 Faderal	Design Variance Request Summary (see page 2):					
Provide attachme	nh <i>il nec</i> essory		Complete Streets Accommodations:					
Traffic and Sal	fety:							
Current Roadway At	OT (Year)		There are sented and the set of t					
Design Roadway AD	f(Year)		Green Infrastructure Needs and Opportunities:					
ĸ			Low, Medium, High) summery Excitonations					
T			-					
Total Average Calcul Delay/Day without Pr	lated weet?							
Pedestrian Activity (L	low Mediam		T. 17. 0					
ngnu	11 2 S. 1	-	Kummary Explanation (Provide atlachments) Inecessary):					
BICYCLE ACTIVITY (LOW	Medium (High)	-	_					
Sections/Intersection	High Craelhi 157	_						
History of crashins wi Redestnane/Bioycles	40 17							
Recommende	d by:		Induced					
Engineer of Record: Signature:			Company: Date:					
MOVEBR Program P Signature:	toject Manager:		Company: Date:					
MOVEBR Technical (Signisture)	Committee Facili	talar	Conpany: Dete:					
Annroved by:								
DTD Dasign and Con	struction Chief F	naneer						

Date: 12/12/19						Plajec	t Design Repor
Proposed Design Criteria Va	lues/Clas	ssificatio	n:		_	0.00	Page 2 of 2
Typical Section to be Used:	Litour/) A-Lane	Vallacius 🗖	Marcan Marca	Signitoen 44 ann	Separate 34am	Sidurbitit Dibani	Road Lane
Item	Preferred Value	Proposed Value	Vorionce Need?	Justifica	tion (Provide	attachments if	necessary)
Mobility (Low, Medium, High)							
Access (Low, Medlum, High)							
Design Speed (MPH)							
Design Vehicle (Type)							1
Width of Travel Lones (ft.)							0
Width of Shoulders (ft.) (edge of travel lane to FOC)			-				
toside							
Outside							
Lateral Offset (ft.)							
Non-Tongent Sections (ft.)							
Tangent Sections (fl.)							-
Clear Zone (Ref. Chapters 3 & 10 of AASHTO Roadside Desian Guide)		-		2			
Width of Median (ft.)		-		in-			
Raised (ft.) - w/ Approval							
Depressed (ft.) - "Green"							
Preferred	_	·	·				
TWLTL (tt.)							
Pavement Cross Slope (%)	5.57						
Foreslope (max.)							
Backslope (max)							
Pedestrion Facility (ft.)			-				
Furniture Zone (ft.) (from FOC)							
Sidewalk (ft.)				_			
Bike Facility (ft.)	-						
Bike Lanes (ft.)							
Buffered Bike Lanes (ft.)							
Cycle Tracks - raised (ft.)	1	· · · · · ·					
Stoping Sight Distance (ft.)							
Maximum Superelevation (%)							
Minimum Rodius (ft.)	-		_				
with normal crown (ft.)							14
with reverse crown (ft.)							
with full superelevation							
Maximum Longitudinal Grade (%)		· · · · · ·					
Minimum Vertical Clearance							1
Roadway			1	1.00			
PedesrianBridge			1				
Trails/Bikeway							
Railroad Crossing Impact? (Yes/No)	Il yes exc	iain əcalis	an aperatin	arcined/see	osed and an	licipaledimprov	smentz
(Refer to LADOTD Bridge Design and Evaluation Monual as well as rollroad owner design guidance)							

Required Documentation

- Guideline Deviations
 - Engineer may request deviation from Program Guidelines for specific reasons
 - Project conflicts
 - More cost-effective design concept
 - > Development of new design process or material
- State Routes
 - Projects along state routes, remaining state routes must follow LADOTD design waiver and exception process

M

Deviation from Program Design Standards

Request No.:

Date:

Project Name/No.:

Engineer:

Affected Documents:

[Please provide full description of the Program Design Criteria and/or required element from which deviation or change is requested. Include offected guideline or specification section and/or subsection, drawing number, etc., to enable the City-Parish and Program Manager to fully and easily understand the element from which deviation is proposed.]

Proposed Change:

[Please provide a detailed description of proposed change. Attach sketches, specification or other applicable material which fully describes the scope of your proposal.]

Reason for Request:

[Please provide complete documentation and justification for this Request. Include description of project impact, cost-effectiveness analysis if applicable, and any other supporting data and analysis that will facilitate evaluation.]

Date by Which Approval is Requested: [Please explain why]

Impact of Proposal On:

a: Design Schedule & Cost

[Please explain as necessary]

b: Construction Schedule & Cost

[Please explain as necessary]

c: Public and/or Business Impacts

[Please explain as necessary]

Remarks:

[Please include any other information and/or concerns not covered above.]

SIGNED:

RECOMMENDED:

[Project Manager] [Name of Engineering Firm] (Program Project Manager)

APPROVED: CONDITIONALLY APPROVED: (SEE ATTACHED FOR CONDITIONS)

[C-P DID Chief Engineer]

(C-P TED Chief Engineer)

DENIED:

[C-P DID Chief Engineer]

[C-P TED Chief Engineer]

Additional Information/Explanation from MOVEBR Technical Committee:

MOVEBR Technical Committee (MTC)

- ► MTC is comprised of members from:
 - Capacity Project PMT
 - Community Enhancement Project PMT
 - DTD Chief Design and Construction Engineer
 - DTD Chief Traffic Engineer
- MTC will provide
 - Oversite for design decisions
 - Address ongoing Program technical issues
 - Facilitate updates or revisions to the MOVEBR Design Guidelines
 - Review and adjudicate all guideline deviation requests
 - Approve design milestone stage gate reviews



Less than minimum vertical clearance



Module 3: Typical Sections

Design Criteria, Minimum Requirements, Integration of Complete Streets, Green Infrastructure, ADA, and Mobility Considerations

Typical Section Framework

- Functional Classification
- Intended function
- Land Use & Character Area
- AASHTO Contextual Classifications
- Sources:
 - FHWA Highway Functional Classification Concepts, Criteria, and Procedures, 2013
 - ► FutureBR, 2018
 - AASHTO's Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets, 7th Edition, 2018

Typical Section

Target

Speed

Context

Function



Functional Classification



Increasing Ped/Bike Activity



Land Use & Character Area



Proposed Future Land Use City of Baton Rouge Unincorporated East Baton Rouge Parish FUTUREBR Five Year Update

Proposed Future Land Use



Neighborhood Center
Office
Open Space
Park
Regional Center
Residential Neighborhood
Urban Neighborhood



AASHTO Contextual Classifications

Rural (No MOVEBR projects)

Urban

Rural Town



Suburban







Urban Core






Mobility, Safety, & Access





"STROAD"









Context Sensitive Multimodal Corridor



Urban/Walkable 2-lane (Opt 1)



URBAN/WALKABLE 2-LANE (Option 1: No On-Street Parking)

SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"

MOBILITY	ACCESS	DESIGN VEHICLE	DESIGN SPEED	LANE WIDTH	SHOULDERS	FURNITURE ZONE	BIKE FACILITY	PEDESTRIAN	CROSS SLOPE	MEDIAN WIDTH	MEDIAN OPENING SPACING
Low	High	SU	25-MPH	10-Feet	1-Foot (Edge of Travel Lane to BOC; Curb and Gutter)	6-Foot; Rain Gardens Preferred; 1.5-Foot Minimum Lateral Offset for Fixed Objects	5-Foot Wide On-Street Bike Lanes with Bike Boxes at Intersections	12-Foot (6-Foot Furniture Zone; 6-Foot side- walk to allow Side-By-Side Walking or Passing)	2.0%	NA	NA



October 22, 2019

TYPICAL SECTIONS

Urban/Walkable 2-lane (Opt 2)



URBAN/WALKABLE 2-LANE (Option 2: With On-Street Parking)

SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"

MOBILITY	ACCESS	DESIGN VEHICLE	DESIGN SPEED	LANE WIDTH	SHOULDERS	FURNITURE ZONE	BIKE FACILITY	PEDESTRIAN	CROSS SLOPE	MEDIAN WIDTH	MEDIAN OPENING SPACING
Low	High	SU	25-MPH	10-Feet	8-Foot On-Street Parking Areas	6-Foot; Rain Gardens Preferred; 1.5-Foot Minimum Lateral Offset for Fixed Objects	6-Foot 6-Inches Wide Protected with Bike Boxes at Intersections	12-Foot (6-Foot Furniture Zone; 6-Foot side- walk to allow Side-By-Side Walking or Passing)	2.0%	NA	NA



October 22, 2019

TYPICAL SECTIONS

Urban/Walkable 4-lane



Suburban 2-lane (Opt 1)



SUBURBAN 2-LANE

SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"

MOBILITY	ACCESS	DESIGN VEHICLE	DESIGN SPEED	LANE WIDTH	SHOULDERS	FURNITURE ZONE	BIKE FACILITY	PEDESTRIAN	CROSS SLOPE	MEDIAN WIDTH	MEDIAN OPENING SPACING
Medium	Medium	SU	30-MPH	11-Feet	2-Foot (Edge of Travel Lane to BOC; Curb and Gutter)	6-Foot Preferred; Rain Garden Preferred; 1.5-Foot Minimum Lateral offset for Fixed Objects	6-Foot 6-Inches One-way Cycle Track	5-Foot Wide Sidewalk	2.0%	N/A	N/A



Suburban 2-lane (Opt 2)



SUBURBAN 2-LANE (Option 2: With Median Bioswale)

SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"

MOBILITY	ACCESS	DESIGN VEHICLE	DESIGN SPEED	LANE WIDTH	SHOULDERS	FURNITURE ZONE	BIKE FACILITY	PEDESTRIAN	CROSS SLOPE	MEDIAN WIDTH	MEDIAN OPENING SPACING
Medium	Medium	SU	30-MPH	11-Feet	2-Foot (Edge of Travel Lane to BOC; Curb and Gutter)	6-Foot Preferred; Rain Garden Preferred; 1.5-Foot Minimum Lateral offset for Fixed Objects	6-Foot 6-Inches One-way Cycle Track	5-Foot Wide Sidewalk	2.0%	20-Foot Wide Preferred	0.5-Mile With Offset Lefts



Suburban 3-lane



SUBURBAN 3-LANE

SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"

MOBILITY	ACCESS	DESIGN VEHICLE	DESIGN SPEED	LANE WIDTH	SHOULDERS	FURNITURE ZONE	BIKE FACILITY	PEDESTRIAN	CROSS SLOPE	TWO-WAY LEFT- TURN LANE WIDTH	MEDIAN OPENING SPACING
Medium	Medium	SU	35-MPH	11-Feet	1-Foot (Edge of Travel Lane to BOC; Curb and Gutter)	6-Foot Preferred; Rain Gardens Preferred; 1.5-Foot Minimum Lateral offset for Fixed Objects	6-Foot 6-Inches One-way Cycle Track	5-Foot Wide Sidewalk	2.0%	12-Foot	N/A



Suburban 4-lane (Opt 1)



SUBURBAN 4-LANE (Option 1: "Reverse Crown" With Median Bioswale)

SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"

MOBILITY	ACCESS	DESIGN VEHICLE	DESIGN SPEED	LANE WIDTH	SHOULDERS	FURNITURE ZONE	BIKE FACILITY	PEDESTRIAN	CROSS SLOPE	MEDIAN WIDTH	MEDIAN OPENING SPACING
High	Low	WB-50	40-MPH	11-Feet	2-Foot (Edge of Travel Lane to BOC; Curb and Gutter)	6-Foot; Rain Gardens Preferred; 3-Foot Minimum Lateral Offset for Fixed Objects	One-way 5-Foot Bike Lane	5-Foot Sidewalk	2.5%	28-Foot Wide Preferred	0.5-Mile With Offset Lefts



Suburban 4-lane (Opt 2)



SUBURBAN 4-LANE (Option 2: "Normal Crown" With Median Bioswale)

SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"

MOBILITY	ACCESS	DESIGN VEHICLE	DESIGN SPEED	LANE WIDTH	SHOULDERS	FURNITURE ZONE	BIKE FACILITY	PEDESTRIAN	CROSS SLOPE	MEDIAN WIDTH	MEDIAN OPENING SPACING
High	Low	WB-50	40-MPH	11-Feet	2-Foot (Edge of Travel Lane to BOC; Curb and Gutter)	6-Foot; Rain Gardens Preferred; 3-Foot Minimum Lateral Offset for Fixed Objects	One-way 5-Foot Cycle Track	5-Foot Sidewalk	2.5%	28-Foot Wide Preferred	0.5-Mile With Offset Lefts



Rural 2-lane



RURAL 2-LANE

SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"

MOBILITY	ACCESS	DESIGN VEHICLE	DESIGN SPEED	LANE WIDTH	SHOULDERS	ROADSIDE BUFFER	BIKE FACILITY	PEDESTRIAN	CROSS SLOPE	MEDIAN WIDTH	MEDIAN OPENING SPACING
High	Low	SU	40-MPH	11-Feet	8-Foot Wide With Rumble Stripe - Note: Rumble Stripe Will Need To Be Intermittent To Allow Bikes To Move In & Out of Lanes (To Turn Left, Pass, Etc.)	Shoulder	Shoulder	N/A	2.5%	N/A	N/A





Module 4: Pedestrian Facilities

Sidewalks, Crosswalks, Medians, Signalization, Buffers and Landscaping



Because we are all pedestrians





Because many people do not drive





Because other modes depend on walking







Because it's good for business – people walk into stores





Because pedestrians use and belong on streets and highways





Because walking is healthy exercise

- Vehicles must yield the right-of-way to pedestrians within a crosswalk that are in the same half of the roadway which the vehicle is traveling or turning onto.
- Pedestrians may not suddenly leave the curb and enter a crosswalk into the path of a moving vehicle that is so close the vehicle is unable to yield. Pedestrians must yield the right-of-way to vehicles when crossing outside of a marked crosswalk or an unmarked crosswalk at an intersection.
- Where traffic control devices are in operation, pedestrians may only cross between two adjacent intersections in a marked crosswalk.



§212. Pedestrians right-of-way in crosswalks

- > A. When traffic-control signals are not in place or not in operation, the driver of a vehicle shall stop and yield the right-of-way, to a pedestrian crossing the roadway within a crosswalk when the pedestrian is upon the roadway upon which the vehicle is traveling or the roadway onto which the vehicle is turning.
- B. No pedestrian shall suddenly leave a curb or other place of safety and walk or run into the path of a vehicle which is so close that it is impossible for the driver to yield.
- C. Whenever any vehicle is stopped at a marked crosswalk or at any unmarked crosswalk at an intersection to permit a pedestrian to cross the roadway, the driver of any other vehicle approaching from the rear shall not overtake and pass such stopped vehicle.
- D. Subsection A of this Section shall not apply where the pedestrian is crossing a roadway at a point where a pedestrian tunnel or overhead pedestrian crossing has been provided.
- > Acts 1962, No. 310, §1; Acts 2011, No. 244, §1.



§213. Crossing at other than crosswalks

A. Every pedestrian crossing a roadway at any point other than within a marked crosswalk or within an unmarked crosswalk at an intersection shall yield the right-of-way to all vehicles upon the roadway.

B. Between adjacent intersections at which trafficcontrol signals are in operation pedestrians shall not cross at any place except in a marked crosswalk.

Acts 1962, No. 310, §1; Acts 2012, No. 811, §9, eff. July 1, 2012.



§214. Drivers to exercise due care

Notwithstanding the foregoing provisions of this Part, every driver of a vehicle shall exercise due care to avoid colliding with any pedestrian upon any roadway and shall give warning by sounding the horn when necessary and shall exercise proper precaution upon observing any child or any confused or incapacitated person upon a highway.

Acts 1962, No. 310, §1.



SIDEWALKS





Source: NACTO Urban Street Design Guide

SIDEWALKS – URBAN/WALKABLE



SIDEWALKS – SUBURBAN



SUBURBAN 2-LANE

SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"

- ► STREET FURNITURE/CURB ZONE
- The street furniture zone is defined as the section of the sidewalk between the curb and the through zone in which street furniture and amenities, such as lighting, benches, newspaper kiosks, utility poles, tree pits, and bicycle parking are provided. The street furniture zone may also consist of green infrastructure elements, such as rain gardens or flow-through planters. (Source: NACTO Urban Street Design Guide) M





LIGHTING

- Scaled to the pedestrian realm in addition to overhead lighting for vehicles
- MOVEBR Design Guidelines Section 12 – Street Lighting



Source: NACTO Urban Street Design Guide



Source: NACTO Urban Street Design Guide



BENCHES



STREET TREES

Street trees enhance city streets both functionally and aesthetically. Trees provide shade to homes, businesses, and pedestrians. Street trees also have the potential to slow traffic speeds, especially when placed on a curb extension in line with on-street parking, and may increase pavement life by avoiding extreme heat. Aesthetically, street trees frame the street and the sidewalk as discrete public realms, enriching each with a sense of rhythm and human scale. (Source: NACTO Urban Street Design Guide)



Source: NACTO Urban Street Design Guide



BICYCLE PARKING



Source: NACTO Transit Street Design Guide

INTERSECTION TREATMENTS

- Signalization
- Crosswalks
- Curb Extensions
- Pedestrian Refuge Islands



Source: NACTO Urban Street Design Guide



Every Day Counts - STEP GUIDE

									Ρ	ost	ed	Sp	eec	l Li	imi	t ar	nd .	AAD	T								
		٧	ehio	cle /	le AADT <9,000				Vehicle AADT 9,000							5,0	00	Vehicle AADT >15,000									
Roadway Configuration	≤3	10 n	nph	3	5 m	ph	≥4	0 n	nph	≤3	≤30 mph		35	35 mph		≥40 mph		≤30 mph			35 mph			≥40 mph			
2 10000	0	2		0			1		1	0			0			1	1		0			1			1	-	
(1 lane in each direction)	4	5	6		5	6		5	6	4	5	6		5	6		5	6	4	5	6		5	6		5	6
() take in second an entropy				7	-	9	0		0	1			7		9	0		0	7		9	7	-	9		-	0
a loss of the set of the strend	0	2	3	0		0	0		0	1		3	0		0	1		0	1		0	0		0	1		0
3 lanes with raised median	4	5			5			5		4	5			5			5		4	5			5			5	
				7		9	0		0	7		9	0		0	0		0	7		9	0		0			0
3 lanes w/o raised median	0	2	3	0		0	1		0	1		3	1	1	0	1		0	1		0	0		0	1		0
(1 lane in each direction with a	4	5	6		5	6		5	6	4	5	6		5	6	-	5	6	4	5	6		5	6	5	6	
two-way left-turn lane)	7		9	7		9			0	7		9	0		0			0	7		9			0	-		0
de 17. complete por tra por	0	1	0	0	-	0	0		0	1		0	1		0	1		0	1		0	1		0	1		0
4+ lanes with raised median		5			5			5			5			5		-	5		1	5			5			5	
(2 of more idnes in edch direction)	7	8	9	7	8	9		8	0	7	8	9	0	8	0		8	0	0	8	0		8	0		8	0
A DOT NO DO DO DO	0	-	0	0		0	0		0	0		0	0	6	0	0	-	0	1		0	0		0	1		0
4+ lanes w/o raised median		5	6		5	0		5	0		5	0		5	0		5	0		5	0		5	0	1	5	0
(2 or more lanes in each alrection)	7	8	9	7	8	9		8	0	7	8	9	0	8	0		8	0	0	8	0		8	0		8	0

Given the set of conditions in a cell,

- # Signifies that the countermeasure is a candidate treatment at a marked uncontrolled crossing location.
- Signifies that the countermeasure should always be considered, but not mandated or required, based upon engineering judgment at a marked uncontrolled crossing location.
- O Signifies that crosswalk visibility enhancements should always occur in conjunction with other identified countermeasures.*

The absence of a number signifies that the countermeasure is generally not an appropriate treatment, but exceptions may be considered following engineering judgment

- High-visibility crosswalk markings, parking restrictions on crosswalk approach, adequate nighttime lighting levels, and crossing warning signs
- 2 Raised crosswalk
- 3 Advance Yield Here To (Stop Here For) Pedestrians sign and yield (stop) line
- 4 In-Street Pedestrian Crossing sign
- 5 Curb extension
- 6 Pedestrian refuge island
- Rectangular Rapid-Flashing Beacon (RRFB)** 7
- 8 Road Diet
- 9 Pedestrian Hybrid Beacon (PHB)**

Source: EDC Guide for Improving Pedestrian

Refer to FHWA's EDC Guide for Improving Pedestrian Safety at Uncontrolled Crossing Locations for design best practices



Safety at Uncontrolled Crossing Locations

Curb Extensions

Curb extensions visually and physically narrow the roadway, creating safer and shorter crossings for pedestrians while increasing the available space for street furniture, benches, plantings, and street trees. They may be implemented on downtown, neighborhood, and residential streets, large and small (Source: NACTO Urban Street Design Guide).



Source: NACTO Urban Street Design Guide



Crosswalks

Crosswalks should be provided at regular intervals. Crosswalks designate space for pedestrians so motorists can anticipate crossing activity. All crosswalks installed must meet the requirements in the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD).



Source: NACTO Urban Street Design Guide



HIGH VISIBILITY CROSSWALKS



Source: FHWA Handbook for Designing Roadways for the Aging Population

High Visibility Crosswalks (aka ladder, zebra, and continental crosswalks) enhance safety for pedestrians crossing the roadway. These are more visible to approaching vehicles and have been shown to improve yielding behavior.

Project-level decisions will be made for application on a case-by-case basis


MIDBLOCK CROSSWALKS



Source: NACTO Urban Street Design Guide

Midblock crosswalks facilitate crossings to places that people want to go but that are not well served by the existing traffic network. These pedestrian crossings, which commonly occur at schools, parks, museums, waterfronts, and other destinations, have historically been overlooked or difficult to access, creating unsafe or unpredictable situations for both pedestrians and vehicles. (Source: NACTO Urban Street Design Guide

Midblock crossings may be considered on a project-byproject basis



Mid Block Pedestrian Crossing Options



Source: NACTO Transit Street Design Guide

Full Signalized Mid-Block Crossing: pedestrian places a call but the signal remains in coordination with adjacent signals



PEDESTRIAN HYBRID BEACONS



Source: FHWA STEP Countermeasure Tech Sheets

Unlike a traffic signal, the Pedestrian Hybrid Beacon (aka HAWK) rests in dark until a pedestrian activates it via pushbutton or other form of detection, When activated, the beacon displays a sequence of flashing and solid lights that control vehicular traffic while the pedestrian signal heads indicate the pedestrian walk interval and a pedestrian clearance interval. (Source: EDC – STEP Guide)

Should be considered for all midblock crossings where the roadway speed limits are equal to or greater than 40 mph.



MEDIANS

A pedestrian safety island reduces the exposure time experienced by a pedestrian in the intersection. While safety islands may be used on both wide and narrow streets, they are generally applied at locations where speeds and volumes make crossings prohibitive, or where three or more lanes of traffic make pedestrians feel exposed or unsafe in the intersection. (Source: NACTO Urban Street Design Guide)



Source: NACTO Urban Street Design Guide



MEDIANS: URBAN 4-LANE



URBAN/WALKABLE 4-LANE



MEDIANS: SUBURBAN 2-LANE





MEDIANS: SUBURBAN 4-LANE





REFERENCE DOCUMENTS

- MOVEBR Infrastructure Enhancement and Traffic Mitigation Program Design Guidelines
- US Access Board Proposed Accessibility Guidelines for Pedestrian Facilities in the Public Right-of-Way (PROWAG)
- Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD)
- EDC Guide for Improving Pedestrian Safety at Uncontrolled Crossing Locations
- Baton Rouge DPW Standard Plans



Additional Resources



PBIC: <u>www.walkinginfo.org</u> FHWA: <u>safety.fhwa.dot.gov</u> NHTSA: <u>nhtsa.dot.gov</u> ITE: <u>www.ite.org</u> AASHTO/NCHRP: <u>safety.transportation.org</u>





Module 5: Bicycle Facilities

Bike Lanes, Cycle Tracks, Shared Use Paths, Bike Boxes, Bike Signals

Types of Bicyclists





Types of Bicycles



Figure 3-2. Typical Bicyle Dimensions

Table 3-1. Key Dimensions

Uter Type	Fectury	Dimension	
		U.S. Cestomory	Metrik
Typical upright adum biopolist	Physical width (95th precontile	30 in	0.75 m
	Physical langers	70 a	1.8 m
	Physical height of handleborg (typoint demonstrat)	46	1-1 m
	Eve height	60 in	1.5 m
	Carrier of growity (opproximesa)	33-44 m.	:0.8-10 m
	Operating width (minimum)	48 m	1.2 m
	Operating width (preferred)	60 in	1.5 m
	Operating height (minimum)	100 in	2.5 m
	Operating height (preferred)	1257 m	3.0 m



Figure 3-1. Bicyclist Operating Space



Types of Trips

- Utilitarian/ Nondiscretionary
 - Everyday trips; work, school, etc.
- Recreation/ Discretionary
 - Wide range of trips and riders







Bicycle Operations

Traffic Principles for Bicyclists

- Generally keep right
- Changing lanes
- Intersection approach
- Left turns



Figure 3-3. Common Maneuvers for Bicyclists Turning Left at an Intersection



Types of Bicycle Facilities

- Shared Lanes, Advisory Bike Lanes & Bike Boulevards
- Bike Lanes
- Buffered Bike Lanes
- Separated Bike Lanes & Cycle Tracks
- Shared Use Paths



Notes

- Chart assumes operating speeds are similar to posted speeds. If they differ, use operating speed rather than posted speed.
- 2 Advisory bike lanes may be an option where traffic volume is <3K ADT.</p>
- 3 See Section 4.4 for a discussion of alternatives if the preferred bikeway type is not feasible.



Shared Lanes, Advisory Bike Lanes & Bike Boulevards (< 25 mph & < 3k vpd)







Bike Lanes (< 30 mph & < 6k vpd)



Buffered Bike Lanes (< 35 mph)







Separated Bike Lanes & One-Way Raised Cycle Tracks (35 mph or greater)



Shared Use Paths (aka Trails)



- Shared with bicyclists and pedestrians
- Completely separated from vehicles





Bicycle Iane Width

- No gutter, curb, or parking
 - 4' minimum
- No gutter with curb, no parking
 - 5 foot typical, 4 foot allowed
 - Constrained, low speed roads
 - All other lanes narrowed first



Bicycle Iane Width

- With gutter and curb
 - 5 foot minimum with 12-inch gutter
 - 6 foot minimum with 24-inch gutter
 - Additional width improves comfort and safety
- With gutter and curb, with parking
 - ▶ 5 foot minimum
 - 6 or 7 foot width adjacent to high turnover parking
 - Wider lanes where parking in high demand may encourage double parking
 - Parking lane width = 8 foot desirable, 7 foot minimum



Drainage Considerations

- Useable width of 4 feet is recommended
- Drainage grates
 - Reduce effective width of bike lane
 - Use bicycle compatible grates
- Widen bike lane or relocate grate if the clear bike lane operating space falls below 4 feet







Green Colored Bicycle Lanes

- Guide incorporates Green Lane FHWA interim approval
 - http://mutcd.fhwa.dot.gov/resources/interim_approval/ia14/ia14grnpmbike tlanes.pdf

US De of Troy Fede Admi	partment sportation ral Highway ristration	Memorandum	
Subject:	INFORMATION: MUTCD – Interim Approval for Optional Use of Green Colored Pavement for Bike Lanes (IA-14)	Date:	APH 1 5 2011
From:	Jettrey A. Lindley Associate Administrator for Operations		In Reply Refer To HOTO-
Te:	Federal Lands Highway Division Engineers Division Administrators		
	<u>Purpose:</u> The purpose of this memorandur optional use of green colored pavement in bicycle lanes through intersections and othe interim use, pending official rulemaking, o	m is to issue an Interim App marked bicycle lanes and in or traffic conflict areas. Inter f a new traffic control device	roval for the extensions of rim Approval allows , a revision to the



Green Colored Bicycle Lanes

- Guide incorporates Green Lane FHWA interim approval
 - http://mutcd.fhwa.dot.gov/resources/interim_approval/ia14/ia14grnpmbike tlanes.pdf





Intersection Striping Options



Intersection Striping Options



Intersection Striping Options



Striping at Driveways



Curb Extension - Before



Curb Extension - After



Avoid Skewed Intersections







Permissive Left Turns

Pedestrians cross at same time as left-turning car; Drivers turning left on a green ball don't look for pedestrians.



MUTCD Sec. 4D.18

Pedestrians cross after left-turning car, with thru-traffic; Pedestrian and car <u>not</u> in conflict



MUTCD Sec. 4D.19



Protected/permissive Left Turns

Pedestrians cross after most leftturning cars (protected phase); Pedestrian and remaining cars <u>are</u> in conflict (permissive phase)



MUTCD Sec. 4D.20

Additional Resources



FHWA Separated Bike Lane Guide May 2015 SEPARATED BIKE LANE **PLANNING & DESIGN GUIDE** ACHUSETTS DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION 2015

MassDOT Separated Bike Lane Guide November 2015
Corner Deflection Island & Recessed Crossings





Separated Bike Lane





Conventional Bike Lane

90° field

of

view

Motorist's

Perspective



The deflection island reduces motorist turning speeds and improves visibility between drivers, bicyclists, and pedestrians.

Bicyclists yield S Islands reduce the to pedestrians crossing the bike lane.

overall crossing

pedestrians and

create additional

space for people

to wait before crossing the street.

distance for

O Parking is restricted at the intersection to increase visibility between all users. G Bicyclists wait at a queue box to make left turns.

G Bicycle traffic signals tell bicyclists when it is safe to proceed through the intersection

Bicyclists are routed behind the bus stop, and yield to bus passengers accessing the stop.









Two-Stage Left Turn Queue Box





Transit Stop Considerations

- Railings or planters
- Intersection crossing
- Stop or yield markings





Module 6: ADA Requirements

Federal Laws, ADA Goals, Minimums, Curb Ramps, APS

ADA Guiding Principles, Laws, Goals

- City-Parish aims to fully integrate individuals with disabilities into all aspects of the community life.
- 1973 law that protects people with disabilities from discrimination from federal government
- Access Board issues minimum guidelines and requirements for standards
- 1990 law extends to state and local governments; effective 1992
- ▶ 1999 first set of standards



ADA Guiding Principles, Laws, Goals

- Required public entities that employ 50+ persons to prepare a Transition Plan
- > 2010 ADA Standards for Accessible Design (ADAAG) updated
- 2011 Public Rights-of-Way Accessibility Guidelines (PROWAG) proposed
- C-P Transition Plan June 2019
- MOVEBR ADA Compliance Program \$10 million
- > All MOVEBR Projects will require ADA compliant designs



ADA Requirements & Goals

- It is envisioned that within the limits of all MOVEBR projects, any existing deficiencies are addressed and include ADA accommodations to the fullest extent possible, including but not limited to the following strategies:
 - Connectivity improvements between segments
 - Accessible Pedestrian Signals that include audible cues
 - No right turns on red
 - Curb cuts that align with the marked crosswalk (as opposed to those directed towards the middle of the intersection)
 - Truncated domes or other tactile warning devices
 - Proper drainage design
 - High visibility crosswalk markings
 - Bus stop landings that are accessible and connected to the sidewalk



Source: NYC DOT Ped Ramp Program

Minimum Widths

- Pedestrian Access Route (PAR) Minimums
 - Continuous Width: 4'
 - Medians / Pedestrian Refuge Islands: 5'
 - Passing Spaces: 5' x 5' (min. 200' intervals)
 - ▶ Turning Spaces: 4' x 4'
- The dimensions above are minimum required by Federal law. Corridors should accommodate the wider dimensions indicated in the MOVEBR Design Guidelines to the greatest extent possible.



Source: pedbikeimages.com / Dan Burden



Minimum Widths



Minimum Widths



SUBURBAN 2-LANE

SCALE: 1/8" = 1'-0"

Curb Ramps - Alignment

- Curb ramps should be aligned with the marked crosswalk, as opposed to those directed towards the middle of the crosswalk, to the greatest extent possible
- Where perpendicular curb ramp alignment is not feasible, consider Audible Pedestrian Signalization (APS) to guide visually impaired.



Source: pedbikeimages.com / Shane Sawyer

Curb Ramps - Alignment



Curb Ramps – Tactile Cues

Tactile Cues - Detectable warning strips must be at least 24 inches deep, and must be applied at all curb ramps for their entire width, or at any location where pedestrians cross into another modal zone (i.e. bike lanes or vehicle lanes) along a flush transition. (Source: NACTO Transit Street Design Guide)



Source: Texas DOT



Source: PROWAG

Audible Pedestrian Signals

Signalized crossings may include accessible pedestrian signals (APS), which utilize audible cues to inform pedestrians of signal phases, including announcements or rapid percussive tones. If audible cues rely upon push-button activation, the button should be located near the curb ramp for each crossing direction, and far enough apart to distinguish from other ramps. (Source: NACTO Transit Street Design Guide)

Video:

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=S2lsh1CGdQk



Source: pedbikeimages.com / Dan Burden

Transit Stops

An accessible boarding area must be provided, typically measuring 5 feet long (parallel to the curb) by 8 feet wide (perpendicular to the curb). This includes 5 feet of width for a wheelchair waiting area, plus additional width to deploy a wheelchair ramp to serve the waiting area (typically 3 feet). Longer ramps may require additional length. (Source: NACTO Transit Street Design Guide)



Transit Stops



Source: NACTO Transit Street Design Guide

LIGHTHOUSE LA INTERACTIVE LECTURE

- > Think the American Disabilities Act (ADA) has you covered? Come see for yourself under a blindfold.
- The MOVEBR Program Management Team on behalf of Lighthouse Louisiana invites all engineers, designers, project managers, involved with the MOVEBR Program to experience what it feels like for a visually impaired pedestrian to travel down the street. Learn about what you can do to go beyond basic ADA requirements to create spaces that are truly accessible to pedestrians who cannot rely on sight to travel safely and independently.
- What to expect: This will be a 30-minute interactive lecture, followed by a 45-minute immersive whitecane walk. While blindfolded, you will get the opportunity to take in information from your other senses in order to navigate to the nearest bus stop. This training will help build awareness and understanding of what you can do to make your projects more user-friendly for the visually impaired.
- This training is led by experts from Lighthouse Louisiana who have knowledge of best-practices and firsthand experience navigating the world without sight.
- Lighthouse Louisiana's mission is to empower people with disabilities through services, employment, and advocacy.
- ▶ When: Wednesday, March 4th, 2020 10am-11:15am
- **Where:** Baton Rouge Community College
- Dumas Conference Room (located inside the Magnolia Building)
- 201 Community College Drive
- Baton Rouge, LA 70806
- For more information contact Jenice Heck at (504) 899-4501 x 230 or <u>jheck@lighthouselouisiana.org</u>.

REFERENCE DOCUMENTS

- MOVEBR Infrastructure Enhancement and Traffic Mitigation Program Design Guidelines
- US Access Board Proposed Accessibility Guidelines for Pedestrian Facilities in the Public Right-of-Way (PROWAG)
- US Access Board ADA Accessibility Guidelines (ADAAG)
- LADOTD Standard Plans
- LADOTD ADA Transition Plan
 - Cynthia Douglas
 - DOTD ADA/Title VI Compliance Program Manager
 - (225)379-1923
 - Cynthia.douglas@la.gov
- EBR ADA Transition Plan



Module 8: Transit Considerations

Transit Stop Placement, Design, and Signalization



Street Designs for Transit

Aids to movement of buses through traffic Accessible bus stops



Aids to Movement of Buses through Traffic

Priority signalization

Level or near-level boarding

Multi-door boarding

Advanced payment options



Placement and Intersection Configuration









https://nacto.org/publication/transit-street-design-guide/stations-stops/stop-design-factors/stop-placement-intersection-configuration/

Safe Transit Stop Access and Design

Dedicated waiting and boarding areas

Safe crossings

Sidewalk network

Bicycle Network

Boarding Bulb Stop

Curb extensions

Bus stops in lane

Platform aligns with parking lane

Bike lanes, if any, or shared bike/ped facilities outside of stop



Side Boarding Island Stop

Floating bus platform

Bus stops in lane

Separated from sidewalk by bike channel

Aligns with parking lanes



Shared Cycle Track Stop

Retrofit for constrained streets

Bus stops in lane

Bicyclists must yield the space to boarding and alighting passengers when bus stops



Curbside Pull-Out Stop

Option in locations where in-lane stops are a problem

Low-cost

Sidewalks must be wide

Buses may use the curbside lane to progress through congested intersections



In-Lane Sidewalk Stop

Buses run adjacent to the curb

Passengers board and alight directly from the sidewalk

Stop zone must be 10 feet clear of the crosswalk or curb return whether near- or far-side

Shelter / stop amenities do not block pedestrian facilities



Signals and Operations



TRANSIT SIGNAL PROGRESSION

ACTIVE TRANSIT SIGNAL PRIORITY SHORTEN SIGNAL CYCLES TURN RESTRICTIONS



Shared Transit/Right-Turn



Right-Turn Pocket



Queue Jump Lanes



Transit-Only Turns

Today's Team

Jason Crain, PE, ENV SP Vice President & Sr. Project Manager jcrain@sigmacg.com (225)298-0800



Nick Perez-Alvarez, AICP

Planner nperezalvarez@manningarchitects.com (504)412-2000



April Renard, PE, PTOE, RSP2

Complete Streets Lead april.renard@csrsinc.com (225)831-2151

CSRS BUILDING STRONGER, SMARTER COMMUNITIES TOGETHER.

Lynn Maloney-Mujica, AICP

Senior Environmental Planner Imaloneymujica@HNTB.com (225) 368-2826

